

Background

The construction industry has an important role in the development of infrastructure in the economy. The entities operating in the sector include contractors which derive revenue from execution of projects broadly classified under three heads - (I) civil infrastructure, (II) industrial infrastructure, and (III) real estate.

The civil infrastructure space includes segments, such as transportation (road construction and rehabilitation, interchanges and bridges, rail, port and logistics, civil aviation), utilities (dams, drain and wastewater projects, Eskom (South Africa electricity provider) projects and renewable energy) and urban development. Industrial infrastructure includes construction work associated with manufacturing units of various industries like mining and quarrying, chemicals, steel, textiles, cement, etc. The construction work in the real estate segment pertains to the construction of buildings for commercial/residential purposes, hospitals, malls, educational institutes and private construction projects.

The civil infrastructure projects are generally undertaken at the instance of the Government with some projects, particularly in the transportation segment, undertaken through Public-Private-Partnership (PPP) route. The private players may operate as both contractors as well as developer/sponsor of such projects. The urban development projects under civil infrastructure are primarily undertaken by the Government entities. There has been an increased focus of the Government on the urban development front with large budget allocation and several projects tendered in the segment over the past two-three years. The projects in the industrial and real estate space are initiated both by the public as well as private sectors.

Industry characteristics:

Close linkage with the economy

Growth in infrastructure is critical for the development of the economy. Focus on developing infrastructure in the country like roads, rail, airports, power, ports, etc., in turn results in growth in the construction activity. The industry depends significantly on budgetary allocation and government spending on infrastructure development.

Significantly fragmented

Construction industry in South Africa is characterized by significant fragmentation. There is a few large, organised players and a considerable number of medium and small-sized players in the industry. These would also include players operating as subcontractors.

High working capital intensity

Construction contracts are associated with relatively large working capital requirement (particularly non-fund-based). The working capital requirement depends upon a host of factors like size of the project, order mix, tenure, clientele, project advances associated with the order and credit policy of the company and the counterparties. Majority of the projects are labour intensive, and accordingly, construction industry is among the top ten employers in South Africa.

However, incrementally, the construction activities across real-estate; urban development and civil space are getting mechanised with specialised construction equipment being used for faster project execution.

The industry largely operates on a milestone-based payment mechanism for the contracts linked to physical and financial progress on the project. Delays in the receipt of dues from the clients along with delays in project execution result in receivable and inventory build-up as well as increase in the working capital requirements.

Rating Methodology

Considering the size and diversity of the construction sector, CARE Ratings South Africa (Pty) Limited (CARE SA) has developed a methodology for the sector which attempts to analyse factors, over and above the broad corporate rating methodology. This methodology is used to analyse entities operating in the engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) space.

The rating process of CARE SA begins with a review of the economic as well as industry scenario in which the entity operates along with an assessment of the business risk factors specific to the entity. Analysing industry factors includes analysis of extant government policies, demand in terms of infrastructure requirement as well as industrial and real estate construction expected in the area catered to, level of competition in the sector and geographies in which the entity operates, financing options and interest rate scenario, inflationary factors affecting the input cost, etc. This is followed by an assessment of the financial and operational risk factors and quality of management of the entity.

The various sub-elements of each risk factor are described below-

A. Management evaluation

CARE SA interacts with the management of the entity to understand the management's vision, focus and growth strategy. Management evaluation includes evaluation of experience of the management in the industry, risk appetite, quality of accounts and nature of related party transactions, among others. For more details on management evaluation, please refer to the website of CARE SA www.careedgesouthafrica.com

B. Business/Operation risk

Evaluation of the track record of the entity in the industry and execution capability is critical for business risk analysis, as it would determine the ability to get new orders. An assessment of the order book indicates the revenue visibility and profitability.

1. Evaluation of past projects executed

Assessing the size and past track record of the entity is a key factor in assessing its future prospects. The entity's overall size indicates the scale of projects that it would be able to bid for, whereas past track record indicates the competence and ability to execute projects efficiently. Major projects executed in the past are evaluated including details with respect to the size of the projects, type of clientele and complexity level of projects.

2. Order book analysis

The order book of a construction company summarises the core business activity of the company and is the most important exhibitor of the business profile of the company in terms of revenue visibility. For assessing revenue visibility, slow-moving/passive orders are excluded from the order book.

Furthermore, status of requisite approvals required for project execution is also ascertained to gauge the revenue visibility. Land acquisition, Right of Way (RoW), Environmental / Forest Clearances, financial closure are among common factors which impact the project timelines affecting the status of order book.

The construction contracts, by terms of agreement, can be classified as, lump-sum contracts, item-rate contracts, cost-plus-percentage contracts, cost-plus-fixed fee contracts, turnkey contracts etc. The raw material/input prices are the major determinant of the cost of contracts along with labour cost. Any steep rise in the input prices due to macro-economic factors and/or delays associated with the project execution may have an adverse bearing on the profitability of the companies in case of fixed-cost contracts. The companies having majority of contracts with in-built escalation clauses may be viewed favourably. However, the cost escalation may be linked to wholesale price index (WPI) or any other index and any cost increase higher than the Index may limit the benefit from the presence of escalation clause.

2.a Diversity and credit quality of counterparty Order book diversity

A company which operates across various segments and geographies is more protected against a slowdown or issues in a particular segment or geography as compared to a company with high concentration in a particular segment or geography. Furthermore, diversification can be seen on the basis of total number of orders, clients, nature and size of projects undertaken, etc.

Credit quality of clientele

CARE SA also ascertains the credit profile of the clientele for whom the work order is being executed. Client composition is important in ascertaining the liquidity associated with the work orders. The bifurcation can be broadly in terms of central government, state government or various state departments, private clients and Principal/Sub-Contractor. Assessment of the credit quality and payment track record of the respective counterparty, state's Budgetary Allocation toward infrastructure and designated funding authority enables assessment of the cash-flow adequacy of projects. Largely, the construction orders are obtained through participation in tenders floated by the various Authorities (except private orders) and composition of orders based on mode of receipt, i.e., through direct participation in bidding or sub-contracted. Order received through direct participation is usually associated with higher margins vis-à-vis sub-contracted ones. High proportion of sub-contracted orders may be due to lack of adequate technical/financial qualification to participate in the tender, track record of project execution, etc. In case of subcontracts, the credit quality of both the principal contractor and the client is assessed.

2.b Revenue visibility

Order book to gross sales ratio gives an indication of the future revenue potential and visibility for the medium-term. A very high order book to sales ratio may be a constraint from a credit perspective, if the company does not have adequate resources to fulfil its contractual obligations or may also be an indication of some delayed/stuck orders. On the other hand, a lower ratio indicates lesser revenue visibility. Hence, this ratio should be at an optimum level and is looked at in light of resources availability and execution capability.

Apart from the outstanding order book, CARE SA also ascertains the value of bids that the company has participated in, the volume of orders classified as lowest bidder (L1 status), which reflect the orders having high potential to be converted into firm orders, the order flow during the past three years which determine the volatility of order book, etc.

CARE SA also tries to ascertain the reserve price, corresponding L2 (second-lowest bid) and L3 (third-lowest bid) value of the tender award received by the company to understand the associated margin with the order and whether the company has done aggressive bidding to enhance the order book while sacrificing on the profitability.

2.c Resource availability and execution capacity

The execution capacity of the company is analysed considering its equipment base, past project execution track record, technical expertise demonstrated, manpower availability and extent of sub-contracting. Furthermore, most construction projects require a host of clearances and approvals at various stages which adds to the execution risk in these projects due to probable delays.

The availability and adequacy of resources w.r.t manpower, labour contractors, and construction equipment play a prominent role in determining the ability to meet the project timelines and also impact the profit margins of the construction companies.

CARE SA undertakes assessment of the work expense and sub-contracting expense vis-à-vis cost of sales, and a higher sub-contracting cost for relatively smaller firms/companies often indicates limited execution capabilities and resource availability.

Construction entities usually do not operate on fixed asset intensive model with exceptions constituting entities involved in the projects of complex nature requiring specialised equipment. However, requirement of faster work execution along with increased efficiency has triggered the development of the construction equipment industry which is gradually resulting in increased spending on the fixed assets by the construction companies. In this context, the fixed asset turnover (FAT) ratio (total operating income/average gross block) throws light on the efficiency to utilise the available fixed assets and also dependence on own v/s leased equipment.

3. Scale of operations

The scale of operation of the entity and trend of gross billing for the last few years exhibits the stability of operations of the entity. The growing trend in gross billing indicates the ability of the company to consistently secure orders and steadily execute them.

4. Profitability trend

Profitability is an important indicator of the operational efficiency of the company. Profitability levels can vary widely within the industry depending on the range of value-addition activities carried out by the company (ranging from large-scale contractors to subcontracting companies) as well as the competitive bidding process, leading to refined margins. Entities having largely fixed-price contracts in the order book witness volatility in margin due to adverse movement in input costs which cannot be passed on.

Furthermore, the operating margin can be impacted on account of hiring charges and higher sub-contracting charges for entities not having own resources in terms of machinery and labour. Entities having own equipment will have higher operating margin and higher depreciation cost.

Also, a company's financing capabilities can be judged on the basis of net profit margin, as interest cost is typically higher for companies finding difficulties in raising finance. The net profit margin will be higher for companies able to procure interest-free mobilisation advances or other cheaper financing options.

5. Working capital management

A longer collection period, which is not in line with peers operating in similar segment or as per contract terms, indicates delays in payments from the clients, which could lead to the entity utilising excess outside borrowings in order to service newer projects, leading to strain on the debt profile. Apart from receivables, CARE SA also considers retention money (including retention money not due) and unbilled revenue/contract asset as part of the debtors while calculating collection period so that a realistic operating cycle is arrived at. Furthermore, the revenue recognition policy of unbilled revenue is sought to understand aggressive revenue booking, if any.

This apart, information with respect to receivables under arbitration/dispute and ageing of debtors is sought for, if required, to check whether such debtors are realisable.

Apart from inventory of raw materials and stores, construction companies also have work-in-progress for various contracts which have not reached the billing stage. The inventory period is analysed to see whether there are any projects which are stuck for long.

CARE SA looks at the gross current asset days (GCA days) to analyse the working capital requirement. The advance income/unearned income forming part of contract liability may be adjusted against GCA days.

A part of the funding requirement is met through creditors and often construction entities have back-to-back arrangement with sub-contractors and payment to them is made on receipt of dues from the debtors. The creditor's period is also analysed to see whether the company is stretching payments to its suppliers or sub-contractors due to liquidity issues.

C. Financial risk

While assessing the financial risk of the entities operating in the construction industry, CARE SA analyses the revenue, profitability, cash flow, capital structure and turnover ratios. Furthermore, off-balance sheet exposures are also critically examined with respect to the size of the company.

1. Capital structure and coverage indicators

CARE SA tries to ascertain the leveraging policy adopted by the management for the project execution in terms of reliance on mobilisation advances, external credit lines or own funding for equipment and working capital requirement and dependence on subcontractors. The same in turn is correlated to the profitability.

1.a. Debt profile

Debt in construction entities is largely in the form of working capital borrowings to fund the operating cycle. Term loans mainly comprise loans availed for acquiring construction equipment. Construction entities also avail mobilisation advances from their clients towards the construction jobs done by them. These advances are a stable source of funding for construction contractors and may be interest free or interest bearing, depending upon the terms of the contract.

However, the entity is generally required to furnish bank guarantee against all such advances. CARE SA considers advances backed by financial guarantee as a part of working capital debt for its analysis.

Apart from bank borrowing and advances, a part of the working capital requirement is met through the creditors. Therefore, apart from debt-equity and overall gearing ratio, CARE SA also considers the ratio of total outside liabilities to tangible net worth.

1.b. Debt coverage indicators

To assess the ability to timely service debt, CARE SA considers the coverage indicators including interest coverage, term debt/gross cash accruals (GCA), total debt/GCA, total debt/net cash flow from operations and total debt/PBILDT, and debt service coverage ratio (DSCR). The DSCR calculation is adjusted for internal accruals committed for capex and investment commitments/support to be extended to special purpose vehicles (SPVs) sponsored by the entity. CARE SA also performs stress test on DSCR by varying parameters, such as interest costs, income, margins, foreign exchange exposure, etc.

The availability of the sanctioned and unutilised bank limits is also factored in while assessing the cash flow position.

The companies having a positive cash flow from the operations are viewed favourably, while companies having a negative cash flow from operations due to higher collection period or slow-moving projects may have to resort to external sources of funding to meet its operational needs and repayment obligations.

2. Off-balance sheet exposures

Construction companies often have significant off-balance sheet items in the form of contingent liabilities as well as other non-fund-based exposures, which are required for the normal functioning of the business. Contingent liabilities in the form of corporate guarantees are treated as debt of the entity being rated depending upon whether the guaranteed entity is in a position to repay its debt. The EPC companies have large requirement of non-fund-based limits, such as bank guarantees (BG), which can be broadly summarised as following -

- BG for submission as bid bond guarantee for participation in tenders/project bidding.
- BG for submission as performance guarantee - post confirmation of tender/project award.
- BG for availing mobilisation advance, as applicable.
- BG for releasing the retention money deposit.

Hence, given the requirement of BG at various stages of operation, CARE SA analyses the utilisation of the sanctioned BG facility/availability of the BGs with the company to understand the business growth prospect and requirement of cash margin against such BGs.

The analysis of these guarantees is also done based on the past track record of invocation of any such guarantees. All such current/projected off-balance sheet exposures, both in terms of sanctions and outstanding balances, are analysed in correlation to the current/projected net worth base of the entities.

3. Liquidity assessment and financial flexibility

The management's approach and track record of maintaining sufficient liquidity cushion and entity's financial flexibility to access long-term funds is assessed. Liquidity cushion available with the entity in the form of cash or cash equivalents against its upcoming obligations is analysed to monitor any cash flow mismatches.

CARE SA also assesses un-utilised bank lines and sanctioned undrawn limits to analyse the liquidity cushion. Financial flexibility could also depend on other factors such as the entity's parentage, scale of operations and its level of leverage. For more details on liquidity-related aspects, please refer to CARE SA criteria on liquidity analysis on CARE SA website www.careedgesouthafrica.com

4. Exposure to projects under Public Private Partnership model

Many construction entities have ventured from core construction activities to investment in projects under Public Private Partnership (PPP) model like BOT (Build-Operate-Transfer)/ DBOT (Design Build-Operate-Transfer)/ DFBOT (Design Finance Build-Operate-Transfer), real estate development, etc. The change in business model from pure play EPC contractors endeavouring to graduate to developers has resulted in these entities assuming various additional risks (funding risk, legal issues, post implementation risk, throughput risk, etc.) and increase in debt burden for these entities. The financial risk assumes higher proportions for entities with significant exposure to their associates/SPVs/subsidiaries engaged in asset developmental activities, as the asset developers, in general, may not have adequate cushion to absorb hike in interest rates and commodity prices, and in turn, rely on their sponsors (promoters) for any tangible and intangible support. The debt availed by the SPVs is usually guaranteed by the sponsors or supported through sponsor undertakings. Furthermore, funding support may be required till the project generates cash flows. The nature and extent of support to SPVs are factored in the rating analysis.

While analysing such entities, CARE SA assesses the standalone profile of the entity and makes suitable adjustments in the leverage and coverage ratios considering the execution risk associated with the projects, guarantees extended, sponsor commitment towards the project and cash inflow/outflow estimations for such investments.

D. Industry risk

The construction industry is very closely linked with the economy, with various macro-economic factors affecting the entities in the sector. CARE SA analyses the demand in terms of expected infrastructure spending planned by the Government in various areas and various schemes announced to boost infrastructure spending. The demand in industrial and real estate construction space is analysed considering the economic activity in the country or area in which the company operates. CARE SA also analyses the lending scenario towards the construction sector to assess the ease of availability of bank finance in the sector. The tight lending scenario or heightened risk perception of the sector by the lenders leads to lower availability of the fund-based and the much-needed non-fund-based limits, which may potentially hinder growth.

The industry is highly dependent on the impact of changes in the government regulations, and policy thrust on infrastructure spending by the centre and the states. CARE SA keeps track of the overall investment scenario in sectors that affect the construction industry to assess the sector outlook.

Environmental Social and Governance risk:

Over the last few years, Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) risks have started gaining importance across the globe and are increasingly influencing investment decisions. The companies may have to incur operational or capital costs towards mitigating these risks. CARE SA analyses the impact of ESG risks on the credit profile of an entity by assessing the expected impact of these costs on the future earnings/revenue/cash flows of entities.

Environmental risk emanates from the disruption of economic resources while construction activities are under progress. Also, various construction projects, especially in the transportation and industrial segment may result in environmental pollution and ecological dislocation thereby necessitating requisite regulatory approvals. In absence of adequate approvals in place, projects may face time overrun thereby resulting in increased cost, blocking funds in working capital and impacting the liquidity profile.

Construction, being labour intensive activity, has high social impact on overall employment generation but entails labour relations risks and other risks related to labourer employment, which are adequately assessed by CARE SA. The EPC projects typically require large tract of land along with Right of Way (RoW) and Right of Use (RoU) approvals to build necessary infrastructure, thus exposing them to social risk. The issues would be around resettlement and rehabilitation (R&R) or compensation on land acquisition between the counterparties and affected parties/landowners.

Governance risk in an entity is determined by a host of factors, which includes and is not limited to the following, viz., financial reporting, corporate practices, adherence to code of conduct, board composition, whistle-blower policies, investor relations, etc. CARE SA tries to develop a thorough assessment about the governance standards of the company being evaluated based on the information that is available. From the standpoint of corporate governance, CARE SA also generally analyses the board composition and degree of compliance with various regulations. The quality of financial reporting and adequacy of disclosures among others are also evaluated.

Conclusion

The rating outcome is ultimately an assessment of the fundamentals and the probabilities of change in the fundamentals of the rated entity. CARE SA Rating Committee based on its experience and holistic judgement analyses each of the above factors and their linkages to arrive at the overall assessment of credit quality by also taking into account the industry's outlook. While the methodology encompasses comprehensive, financial, commercial, economic, and management analyses, credit rating is an overall assessment of all aspects of the issuer.

[Reviewed in December 2025. Next Review due in December 2026]

Contact

Saurav Chatterjee	Director & Chief Executive Officer	saurav.chatterjee@careratingsafrica.com	+ 230 5862 6551 / 5955 3060
Vidhyasagar Lingesan	Chief Rating Officer	vidhya.sagar@careratingsafrica.com	+ 230 5273 1406 / 5955 3060
Mradul Mishra	Media Relations	mradul.mishra@careedge.in	+91 - 22 - 6754 3596

Care Ratings South Africa (PTY) Limited

Registered Address: 1st Floor, 35 Ferguson Road, Illovo, Sandton, 2196, Johannesburg, South Africa

Business Address: Spaces Atrium on 5th, 9th Floor, 5th Street, Sandton, 2196, Johannesburg, South Africa

FSC License No.: CRA007

About Us:

CARE Ratings South Africa (Pty) Limited (CareEdge South Africa) is incorporated in 2023 to provide credit ratings and related services in South Africa. CARE SA is a wholly owned subsidiary of CARE Ratings Africa (Private) Limited (CareEdge Africa), which is a part of CareEdge Group based in India. In October 2024, CareEdge South Africa has received its license from the Financial Sector Conduct Authority (FSCA) of South Africa. CARE SA provides Ratings of various instruments such as Bonds, Money Market Instruments, Bank Deposits, Structured Finance and Bank Facilities. CareEdge South Africa also does Issuer Rating (Banks, Corporates, NBDTIs & Insurance companies). Please visit CareEdge South Africa website - <https://www.careedgesouthafrica.com>.

About CareEdge Group

CARE Ratings Ltd. (CareEdge Ratings)

CareEdge Ratings, based out of India and the parent company of the CareEdge group, was incorporated in 1993 and is the one of the largest Credit Rating Agency (CRA) of India and features amongst the top ten credit rating agencies globally. Since inception, CareEdge Ratings has rated USD 2.5 trillion worth of debt and has undertaken over 95,000 rating assignments which includes ratings of large, mid and small corporates as also sub sovereign and state utility companies. Please visit CareEdge website - <https://www.careratings.com>.

CARE Ratings (Africa) Private Limited

In the quest of supporting the development of capital market in African Union, CareEdge Group has set up the first rating agency of Mauritius - CARE Ratings (Africa) Private Limited (CareEdge Africa) in 2014, which is licensed by Financial Services Commission of Mauritius and Capital Markets Authority of Kenya. CareEdge Africa is also recognised by the Bank of Mauritius as External Credit Assessment Institution (ECAI). CareEdge Africa's shareholders are CARE Ratings, India (CARE Ratings), African Development Bank (AfDB), MCB Equity Fund (MEF) and SBM (NFC) Holdings Limited (SNHL). Please visit CARE Ratings Africa website - <https://www.careratingsafrica.com>.

Disclaimer for Rating Methodology

The rating methodology provided by CARE Ratings South Africa (Pty) Limited ("CARE SA") is intended to offer a comprehensive framework for assessing creditworthiness. The rating methodology is based on various quantitative and qualitative factors, and while it aims to provide a consistent and objective approach, it is not infallible.

CARE SA publishes its rating methodologies in its website at https://www.careedgesouthafrica.com/criteria_methodologies. Along with this, CARE SA publishes its various criteria and policies on code of conduct, conflict of interest, rating process, in our website and update the same from time to time.

This rating methodology has been made available on the website solely for informational purposes, and no part of this methodology should be used, copied, reproduced, distributed without the prior consent of CARE SA or without appropriate credit being given to CARE SA. This methodology, in whole or in part, shall not be modified, reverse engineered in any form and shall not be used for obtaining any commercial gains or for any unauthorised or unlawful purpose. No part of this methodology or report shall be construed as any accounting, legal, regulatory, tax, research, or investment advice.

Ratings are statements of opinion and not statements of fact. Ratings are opinions as on date they are issued and are subject to change based on new information or changes in the market environment. They do not constitute recommendations to buy, hold, or sell any securities or make any investment decisions. Ratings should not be the sole basis for any investment decision. Ratings are not substitutes for professional judgment in investment decisions, and users should rely on their own judgment and seek professional advice before making any financial decisions. All opinions expressed are in good faith, based on the information available at the time of the rating. These opinions are subject to change without notice. The methodology and resulting ratings are not solicitations to engage in any transaction with the entities rated. CARE SA does not act as a fiduciary, investment advisor or in the interest of the issuer or issuances it rates.

While CARE SA has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, CARE SA does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives and/or relies on in its reports. CARE SA is not liable for any errors or omissions in the methodology or for any actions taken based on the ratings. CARE SA does not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, or adequacy of the methodology or the resulting ratings. CARE SA and its associates disclaim all liability for any damages arising from the use of its methodology, reports or any rating actions. ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING THOSE OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, ARE DISCLAIMED. In no event shall CGIL or its affiliates be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, punitive, or consequential damages arising from the use of the rating methodology or the ratings.

CARE SA may receive compensation for providing credit ratings from issuers or underwriters of securities or obligors. CARE SA reserves the right to disseminate its reports which may include its opinions, assessments, analysis or research on its websites, various CARE SA publications or third-party distributors. CARE SA maintains strict confidentiality of non-public information received during the rating process and has policies to maintain confidentiality of data it receives.

By using this rating methodology, you acknowledge and agree to these terms and conditions.

All rights reserved @ CARE Ratings South Africa (Pty) Limited